Patexia





March 2023

Patent Prosecution 2023.

Intelligence Report



January 2018 — December 2022

A comprehensive report on the **Top 100 Best Performing** and **Most Active Patent Prosecution Law Firms.**

Table of Contents.

Introduction	4
What's New in the 2023 Edition?	4
Report Contents	7
Executive Summary	8
Section 01: Patent Statistics	10
Activity by Patent Type	11
YoY Comparison of Filings (Case and Patent Levels)	11
Time to Grant for Different Fields of Innovation	13
General Filing and Issuance Trends	13
High-tech and Biotech Activity	14
The Activity of Foreign Companies in the U.S.	16
Most Inventive Cities and States in the U.S.	18
Fastest Growing and Declining Countries by Patents	20
USPTO by Count	22
USPTO by Average	24
Technology Centers and Art Units	25
Section 02: Companies	26
Best Performing Companies in High-Tech, Biotech, and Overall	27
Most Active Companies in High-Tech, Biotech, and Overall	37
Section 03: Law Firms	47
Best Performing Law Firms in High-Tech, Biotech, and Overall	48
Most Active Law Firms in High-Tech, Biotech, and Overall	58

Section 04: Patent Attorneys and Agents	68
Best Performing Attorneys in High-Tech, Biotech and, Overall	69
Most Active Attorneys in High-Tech, Biotech, and Overall	79
Lateral Moves	89
Lateral Moves between Q1 2022 and Q1 2023	89
Section 05: Growing or Shrinking in 2022	92
Companies with the Largest Growth or Decline in 2022	93
Patent Firms with the Largest Growth or Decline in 2022	98
Section 06: Methodology	102
Entity Name Resolution	103
Including Interviews as an Activity Indicator	103
Defining and Measuring Important Qualities	104
Important Signals	104
Normalization and Noise Removal	105
Scope of Analysis and Timeline	106
Data Sources	107
Appendices	108
Appendix A: Technology Centers and Art Units Stats	109
Appendix B: Top 2,000 Best Performing Companies	110
Appendix C: Top 2,000 Best Performing Law Firms	111
Appendix D: Top 2,000 Best Performing Attorneys	112
Appendix E: Best Performing and Most Active Badges	113
Contact Us	114
Our Products	115

Introduction.

As the world gradually emerges from the COVID-19 pandemic, it's a fitting time to reflect on how the patent landscape has evolved during these challenging years. The pandemic brought the global economy to a standstill in March 2020, and while it's difficult to directly tie this decline to a single factor, the impact on corporate spending in intellectual property is apparent as the number of issued patents continued to decline. In 2022, our Art Unit analysis shows that the number of high-tech and biotech patents issued dropped by nearly 20% compared to 2021. Given that patent applications take two to three years to issue on average, it's possible that the pandemic had a significant impact on corporate spending.

The global pandemic and subsequent quantitative easing, coupled with low interest rates, caused inflation and a tight job market. In response, the government began gradually raising interest rates in 2022, with the current rate hovering around 5%. However,

this increase in interest rates may lead to a period of low growth and potentially trigger a recession. As a result, there could be increased pressure on corporate spending, which may contribute to a further decline in filing activity.

It will be increasingly challenging for law firms to maintain a profitable patent prosecution practice, particularly in major metropolitan areas where living costs and salaries are higher. This challenge is further compounded by the persistent demand from corporate in-house counsel to decrease filing costs.

The combination of all of the above with the continued pressure from corporations, has created more more opportunities for busy patent attorneys to move their practice to new firms. This year for the first time reviewed the most active patent attorneys in the top 2,000 to identify the most important lateral moves of 2022.

What's New in the 2023 Edition?

Last year, for the first time in our Patent Intelligence Report series, we included rankings and data on attorneys and agents. To identify the attorneys involved in patent prosecution, we examined various documents, with the Application Data Sheet (ADS) being the most crucial one. The ADS contains information on the attorney or agent, as well as details such as the inventors' addresses and citizenship, the application's title, and filing date, enabling us to identify the attorneys handling the case.

Over the past year, we have consulted with several patent attorneys in our community, some of whom stressed the importance of interviews with examiners and the attorney conducting the interviews. They noted that while the ADS document may have been signed by a different attorney than the one in charge of the application, the interview is always conducted by the same person named in the PTOL-413 form. As a result, they advised us to also gather the names of attorneys who conducted interviews and spoke

with USPTO examiners. These attorneys are typically the ones who handle responses to office actions. While some applications may have the same attorney listed on both the ADS form and as the interviewer with the examiner, in other cases, they may be two different people. While interviews are not always required, they are often considered a sign that attorneys are working closely with examiners to obtain the best possible claims for their clients.

Additionally, we have revised our ranking methodologies to account for these newly identified attorneys and now distribute points among them whenever multiple attorneys are involved in a single application.

In addition to the new data related to examiner interviews, this year we have also added a section to cover the most important lateral moves of the year. This section features attorneys from the top 2,000 most active list who have made a move or established their own firm since the release of our 2022 report.

This year, we are expanding our Intelligence Report Collection to include the new Trademark Litigation Intelligence Report. In addition to the Patent Intelligence Report, this year, we have also published our Patent Litigation Intelligence Report and ITC Intelligence Reports. Over the next several months, we plan to release reports on Trademark Prosecution, ANDA Litigation, Inter-Partes Review (IPR), Trademark Litigation and Federal Circuit.

We place a high priority on data clean-up and entity name resolution, which we believe are essential to our success. As this issue can be complex, we have increased the size of our engineering team. Artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies help to minimize errors when extracting the names of attorneys, law firms, or corporations for most reports. Additionally, we have utilized the widely used Chat GPT model as part of our natural language processing tools to further enhance the quality of our reports this year.

This year's report includes two files: a PDF document featuring rankings and statis-



tics on the top 100 attorneys, law firms, and companies across six categories, and an Excel document with additional statistics on technology centers, art units, and the top 2,000 most active attorneys, agents, law firms, and companies.

At Patexia, we strive to deliver value to our clients by providing them with valuable insights and data related to US patent prosecution. Our goal is to help our clients gain a competitive edge by providing them with the necessary intelligence and resources to make informed business decisions. We understand that staying ahead of the curve in the patent world is crucial to success, and our reports and analyses are designed to help our clients do just that.

Our reports are designed to offer not only a snapshot of the current patent landscape, but also a comprehensive view of trends over the past five years, allowing our clients to identify patterns and anticipate changes in the patent world.

Moreover, we understand that our clients need more than just data and statistics to succeed in the patent world. That's why our reports also provide business development assistance, highlighting key lateral moves among top attorneys and law firms, as well as key clients for top attorneys and law firms and other strategic insights to help our clients achieve their goals. We hope you will enjoy this new edition.



PedromSamen

Founder and CEO

Report Contents.

We have organized this report under the following six sections:

- 1 Patent Statistics: We look at the patent prosecution data at a high level, providing statistics related to the USPTO, Technology Centers, Art Units, Examiners, Attorneys/Agents, Law Firms, and Corporations.
- 2 Companies: We identify the most active Companies in biotech, high-tech, and overall to measure their success, quality, and performance.
- 3 **Law Firms:** We analyze the *performance* and *activity* of law firms, comparing and providing rankings for the top firms representing *biotech*, *high-tech*, and *overall*.
- 4 Patent Attorneys and Agents: We analyze the *performance* and *activity* of attorneys/ agents, comparing and providing rankings

- for the top attorneys representing biotech, high-tech, and overall.
- 5 **Growing or Declining:** We cover the firms and companies with the largest growth or decline in patent prosecution.
- 6 **Methodology:** We explain our method to measure the correct signals related to performance, activity, success, efficiency, and quality for all stakeholders in patent prosecution.

Similar to our other IP Insights reports, to have a meaningful comparison and also to compensate for the 18-month delay for an application to be published, we compiled the data for this study through a period of five years (e.g., January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2022).

Disclaimer: The data for this report was obtained from public sources, including USPTO, PTAB, and PACER, as well as self-reported by law firms and companies on the Patexia website. Patexia has gone to great lengths to provide valid and accurate analysis based on this data. However, Patexia does not guarantee 100 percent accuracy, nor take any responsibility for possible losses caused by the use of information provided in this report.

Appendices.

Appendix A: Technology Centers and Art Units Stats.

We have provided the following metrics for all 11 *Technology Centers* and 642 *Art Units*. Please check the accompanying Excel document for more details.

- 1 Technology Center / Art Unit
- 2 Number of Examiners
- 3 Number of Applications
- 4 Number of Issued Patents
- 5 Number of Claims Applied for
- 6 Number of Claims Allowed

- 7 Number of Independent Claims Allowed
- 8 Allowance Rate (Application Level)
- 9 Average Number of Office Actions
- 10 Average Number of Extensions
- 11 Average Number of Interviews
- 12 Pendency (Months)



Appendix B: Top 2,000 Best Performing Companies.

Please check the accompanying Excel file to view the list of the Top 2,000 Companies. We have provided the following metrics:

- Company Name
- All Patents Excluding Design
- All Patents Including Design
- BioTech Patents
- HighTech Patents
- Activity Rank (Overall)
- Overall Rank
- Activity Rank (Biotech)
- Overall Rank (Biotech)
- Activity Rank (High-Tech)
- Overall Rank (High-Tech)
- Final Score (%)
- Final Score (Biotech)
- Final Score (High-Tech)
- Success Score (%)
- Quality Score (%)
- Allowance Rate (Application Level)
- Allowance Rate (Claim Level)

- Average Ratio of Word Count for Independent Claims (Application to Patent)
- Average Word Count for Independent Claims
- Pendency (Days)
- Average Number of Office Actions
- Average Number of Interviews
- Average Number of Extensions
- Clients
- USPTO Fees (5 Years)
- Law Firm Fees (5 Years)
- Maintenance Fees (5 Years)
- 2022 Activity Rank (Overall)
- 2022 Overall Rank
- 2022 Activity BioTech Rank
- 2022 Overall BioTech Rank
- 2022 Activity HighTech Rank
- 2022 Overall HighTech Rank



Appendix C: Top 2,000 Best Performing Law Firms.

Please check the accompanying Excel file to view the list of the Top 2,000 Law Firms. We have provided the following metrics:

- Law Firm Name
- All Patents Excluding Design
- All Patents Including Design
- BioTech Patents
- HighTech Patents
- Activity Rank (Overall)
- Overall Rank
- Activity Rank (Biotech)
- Overall Rank (Biotech)
- Activity Rank (High-Tech)
- Overall Rank (High-Tech)
- Final Score (%)
- Final Score (Biotech)
- Final Score (High-Tech)
- Success Score (%)
- Efficiency Score (%)
- Allowance Rate (Application Level)
- Allowance Rate (Claim Level)

- Average Ratio of Word Count for Independent Claims (Application to Patent)
- Average Word Count for Independent Claims
- Pendency (Days)
- Average Number of Office Actions
- Average Number of Interviews
- Average Number of Extensions
- Clients
- USPTO Fees (5 Years)
- Law Firm Fees (5 Years)
- Maintenance Fees (5 Years)
- 2022 Activity Rank (Overall)
- 2022 Overall Rank
- 2022 Activity BioTech Rank
- 2022 Overall BioTech Rank
- 2022 Activity HighTech Rank
- 2022 Overall HighTech Rank



Appendix D: Top 2,000 Best Performing Attorneys.

Please check the accompanying Excel file to view the list of the Top 2,000 attorneys. We have provided the following metrics:

- Attorney Name
- Law Firm Name
- All Patents Excluding Design
- All Patents Including Design
- BioTech Patents
- HighTech Patents
- Activity Rank (Overall)
- Overall Rank
- Activity Rank (Biotech)
- Overall Rank (Biotech)
- Activity Rank (High-Tech)
- Overall Rank (High-Tech)
- Final Score (%)
- Final Score (Biotech)
- Final Score (High-Tech)
- Success Score (%)
- Efficiency Score (%)
- Allowance Rate (Application Level)
- Allowance Rate (Claim Level)
- Average Ratio of Word Count for Independent Claims (Application to Patent)

- Average Word Count for Independent Claims
- Pendency (Days)
- Average Number of Office Actions
- Average Number of Interviews
- Average Number of Extensions
- Clients
- USPTO Fees (5 Years)
- Law Firm Fees (5 Years)
- Maintenance Fees (5 Years)
- 2022 Activity Rank (Overall)
- 2022 Overall Rank
- 2022 Activity BioTech Rank
- 2022 Overall BioTech Rank
- 2022 Activity HighTech Rank
- 2022 Overall HighTech Rank
- Plaintiff Activity Rank 2022
- Plaintiff Performance Rank 2022
- Top 3 Law Firms (Case Count)

Appendix E: Best Performing and Most Active Badges.

As per our tradition, every year Patexia designs two types of badges for *Best Performing* and *Most Active* law firms as they ranked in different categories (overall, high-tech or biotech). Any of our Concierge members or firms purchasing the report will receive the proper badges based on their rankings.

















८ (310) 909-7611 ⊠ info@patexia.com

Contact

Established in 2010 with the goal of improving efficiency and transparency in the realm of intellectual property through the use of data, technology, and expert resources, Patexia is the leading network for IP professionals, boasting over 100,000 IP attorney profiles. The company offers a range of IP services through four distinct divisions: Patexia Connect (recruiting), Patexia Studies (Crowdsourced and traditional IP due diligence), Patexia Expert Witness, and Patexia Insights (IP reports and data). For further information, please contact us today.

Disclaimer: The data for this report was obtained from public sources including USPTO, PTAB, and PACER, as well as self-reported by attorneys on Patexia's website. Patexia has gone to great lengths to provide valid and accurate analysis based on this data. However, Patexia does not guarantee 100 percent accuracy nor take any responsibility for possible losses caused by the use of information provided in this report.

The fine print

This report is being furnished pursuant to, and is subject to the Terms of Service of Patexia, Inc. ("Patexia") found at https://www.patexia.com/terms_of_service.html, as the same may be modified from time to time (the "Terms of Service") and the terms set forth below.

The report and the information, text, statistics, data, material, and graphics (the "Content") in the report are protected by copyright. You may not remove the copyright notice from the report. You are free to share the report within the organization that purchased this report. You may not otherwise modify, copy, reproduce, publish, post, transmit, share or distribute the report or any aspect of the Content without the prior written permission of Patexia; provided, however, that if your organization is ranked in the report, you may accurately publish and share with third parties the fact of the numerical ranking of your organization in the report.

All sales of reports are final. You may not return a report for a refund once you have paid for the report.

Thank you for your purchase of the 2023 Patent Intelligence Report. It is your support that enables us to spend the time, money, and precious thousands of hours needed to compile an annual report of this magnitude. We at Patexia sincerely hope this report brings value to your organization, and we welcome any thoughts or feedback you may have.

Our Products.



Insights

Use data-driven rankings to choose your next IP counsel. Leverage IP analytics for business development and competitive intelligence. Join the growing list of law firms and corporate clients who trust our research and reporting (<u>learn more</u>).





Discover the right lateral opportunity and make your next move by getting help from our professional recruiters with unparalleled access to insights about various practice groups, their growth or decline, active cases, and clients all over the country and globally. Can't find the right expert? Give us a call (learn more).

Expert Witness



Hire your next expert witness from Patexia's network of experts. Our team leverages its massive litigation data and cases' outcome to identify the top experts out of more than 20,000 with a prior history of testifying in the related field (learn more).

Crowd Search



Take advantage of our global network to crowd search the validity of patents and perform your IP due diligence. Enjoy robust <u>crowdsourced prior art</u> and evidence of use searches using our content platform.

For questions or inquiries related to any of our offerings, please contact us at info@patexia.com or (310) 909-7611 or visit us at www.patexia.com